| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/521,754 | KADOTA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | DONGHAI D. NGUYEN | 3729 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>DONGHAI D. NGUYEN</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>CHARLES R. WATTS</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 24 April 2008 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: Of Record | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants agreed to make changes to the claim languages by an Examiner's Amendment to place the case in condition for allowance (see Examiner's amendment) | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |